IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant

Jansson et al.

Appl. No.

09/889,320

Filed

January 10, 2002

For

FRONT AND REAR WHEEL

DRIVE VEHICLE

Examiner

Frank B. Vanaman

Group Art Unit 3618

I hereby certify that this correspondence and all marked attachments are being deposited with the United States Postal Service as first-class mail in an envelope addressed to: United States Patent and Trademark Office, P.O. Box 2327, Arlington, VA 22202, on

120104

RESPONSE TO RESTRICTION REQUIREMENT

United States Patent and Trademark Office Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

RECEIVED FFB 0 4 2004 **GROUP 3600**

Dear Sir:

In response to the restriction requirement, Applicants elect Group I for prosecution in the present application.

The present election is being made with traverse. Applicant submits that it is well established that:

If the search and examination of an entire application can be made without serious burden, the examiner must examine it on the merits, even though it includes claims to independent or distinct inventions.

M.P.E.P. § 803 (emphasis added).

The elected Group is directed to a vehicle comprising a hydraulic drive system for driving at least one front wheel and the non-elected Group is drawn to a vehicle comprising a hydraulic drive system for driving left and right front wheels. A proper search for art related to the elected Group would necessarily include the classes and subclasses relevant to a search for the non-elected Group. Thus, examination of all the claims would not present "a serious burden" on the Examiner. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the present restriction requirement be withdrawn.

01/27/2004 WABDELR1 00000048 09889320

Appl. No. Filed



09/889,320 January 10, 2002



In addition to the above-mentioned restriction requirement, the Examiner also imposed a provisional election among Species. In response to this requirement, Applicants provisionally elect Species II for prosecution on the merits should no generic claim be held allowable. At least Claims 23, 24, 30–34, 41, 42 are generic to all species, and Claims 35–40 read on at least Species II.

The present application is believed to be in condition for consideration, and Applicants respectfully request that examination commence on the merits of the application.

Respectfully submitted,

KNOBBE, MARTENS, OLSON & BEAR, LLP

Dated: 10, 20, 2004

By:

William H. Shreve Registration No. 35,678 Attorney of Record Fourteenth Floor 2040 Main Street

Irvine, CA 92614 (949) 760-0404

H:\DOCS\MTH\MTH-1662.DOC 012004